



Skew-signings of positive weighted digraphs

KAWTAR ATTAS, ABDERRAHIM BOUSSAÏRI*, MOHAMED ZAIDI

Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Département de Mathématiques et Informatique, Laboratoire de Topologie, Algèbre, Géométrie et Mathématiques discrètes, Km 8 route d'El Jadida, BP 5366 Maarif, Casablanca, Maroc

Received 17 April 2017; revised 2 November 2017; accepted 17 January 2018
Available online 3 February 2018

Abstract. An *arc-weighted digraph* is a pair (D, ω) where D is a digraph and ω is an *arc-weight function* that assigns to each arc uv of D a nonzero real number $\omega(uv)$. Given an arc-weighted digraph (D, ω) with vertices v_1, \dots, v_n , the *weighted adjacency matrix* of (D, ω) is defined as the $n \times n$ matrix $A(D, \omega) = [a_{ij}]$ where $a_{ij} = \omega(v_i v_j)$ if $v_i v_j$ is an arc of D , and 0 otherwise. Let (D, ω) be a positive arc-weighted digraph and assume that D is loopless and symmetric. A *skew-signing* of (D, ω) is an arc-weight function ω' such that $\omega'(uv) = \pm\omega(uv)$ and $\omega'(uv)\omega'(vu) < 0$ for every arc uv of D . In this paper, we give necessary and sufficient conditions under which the characteristic polynomial of $A(D, \omega')$ is the same for all skew-signings ω' of (D, ω) . Our main theorem generalizes a result of Cavers et al. (2012) about skew-adjacency matrices of graphs.

Keywords: Arc-weighted digraphs; Skew-signing of a digraph; Weighted adjacency matrix

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C22; 05C31; 05C50

1. INTRODUCTION

A *directed graph* or, more simply, a *digraph* D is a pair $D = (V, E)$ where V is a set of *vertices* and E is a set of ordered pairs of vertices called *arcs*. For $u, v \in V$, the arc $a = (u, v)$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: kawtar.attas@gmail.com (K. Attas), aboussairi@hotmail.com (A. Boussaïri), zaidi.fsac@gmail.com (M. Zaidi).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajmsc.2018.01.001>

1319-5166 © 2018 The Authors. Production and Hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

of D is denoted by uv . An arc of the form uu is called a *loop* of D . A *loopless digraph* is one containing no loops. A *symmetric digraph* is a digraph such that if uv is an arc then vu is also an arc. Given a symmetric digraph $D = (V, E)$ and a subdigraph $H = (W, F)$ of D , we denote by H^* the subdigraph of D whose vertex set is W and arc set is $\{vu : uv \in F\}$.

Let G be a simple undirected and finite graph. An *orientation* of G is an assignment of a direction to each edge of G so that we obtain a directed graph \vec{G} . Let \vec{G} be an orientation of G . With respect to a labeling v_1, \dots, v_n of the vertices of G , the *skew-adjacency matrix* of \vec{G} is the $n \times n$ real skew-symmetric matrix $S(\vec{G}) = [s_{ij}]$, where $s_{ij} = 1$ and $s_{ji} = -1$ if $v_i v_j$ is an arc of \vec{G} , otherwise $s_{ij} = s_{ji} = 0$. The *skew-characteristic polynomial* of \vec{G} is defined as the characteristic polynomial of $S(\vec{G})$. This definition is correct because skew-adjacency matrices of \vec{G} with respect to different labelings are permutationally similar and so have the same characteristic polynomial.

There are several recent works about skew-characteristic polynomials of oriented graphs, one can see for example [1,3–6,10]. An open problem is to find the number of possible orientations with distinct skew-characteristic polynomials of a given graph G . In particular it is of interest to know whether all orientations of a graph G can have the same skew-characteristic polynomial. The following theorem, obtained by Cavers *et al.* [3] gives an answer to this question.

Theorem 1.1. *The orientations of a graph G all have the same skew-characteristic polynomial if and only if G has no cycles of even length.*

A similar result to this theorem was obtained by Liu and Zhang [7]. They proved that all orientations of a graph G have the same permanental polynomial if and only if G has no cycles of even length.

In this work, we will extend Theorem 1.1 to positive weighted loopless and symmetric digraphs (which we abbreviate to *pwls-digraphs*). An *arc-weighted digraph* or more simply a *weighted digraph* is a pair (D, ω) where D is a digraph and ω is a *arc-weight function* that assigns to each arc uv of D a nonzero real number $\omega(uv)$, called the *weight* of the arc uv . Let (D, ω) be a weighted digraph with vertices v_1, \dots, v_n . The weighted adjacency matrix of (D, ω) is defined as the $n \times n$ matrix $A(D, \omega) = [a_{ij}]$ where $a_{ij} = \omega(v_i v_j)$, if $v_i v_j$ is an arc of D and 0 otherwise. Let (D, ω) be a pwls-digraph. A skew-signing of (D, ω) is an arc-weight function ω' such that $\omega'(uv) = \pm\omega(uv)$ and $\omega'(uv)\omega'(vu) < 0$ for every arc uv of D .

Our aim is to characterize the pwls-digraphs (D, ω) for which the characteristic polynomial of $A(D, \omega')$ is the same for all skew-signings ω' of (D, ω) . This characterization involves directed cycles in D . Recall that a *directed cycle* of length $t > 0$ is a digraph with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t\}$ and arcs $v_1 v_2, \dots, v_{t-1} v_t, v_t v_1$. Throughout this paper, we use the term “cycle” to refer to a “directed cycle” in a digraph. A cycle of length $t = 2$ is called a *digon*. A cycle is *odd* (resp. *even*) if its length is odd (resp. even). Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (D, ω) be a pwls-digraph. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The characteristic polynomial of (D, ω') is the same for all skew-signings ω' of (D, ω) .*
- (ii) *D has no even cycles of length more than 2 and $A(D, \omega) = \Delta^{-1} S \Delta$ where S is a nonnegative symmetric matrix with zero diagonal and Δ is a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal entries.*

Note that a graph G can be identified with the pwls-digraph obtained from G by replacing each edge joining two vertices u and v by the arcs uv and vu , both of them have weight 1. Moreover, every orientation of G can be identified with a skew-signing of this weighted digraph. Then, our main result is a generalization of [Theorem 1.1](#).

2. CYCLE-SYMMETRIC DIGRAPHS

There is a natural correspondence between real matrices and weighted digraphs. Indeed, every $n \times n$ real matrix $M = [m_{ij}]$ is the weighted adjacency matrix of a unique weighted digraph (D_M, ω_M) with vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. This digraph, called the *weighted digraph associated to M* , is defined as follows: ij is an arc of D_M iff $m_{ij} \neq 0$, and the weight of an arc ij is $\omega_M(ij) = m_{ij}$.

We start with some formulas involving the characteristic polynomial of a matrix and its weighted associated digraph. For this, we need some notations and definitions. Let D be a digraph. A *linear subdigraph* L of D is a vertex disjoint union of some cycles in D . A linear subdigraph L of D is called *even linear* if L contains no odd cycles. Let $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_k(D)$ (resp. $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_k^e(D)$) denote the set of all linear (resp. even linear) subdigraphs of D that cover precisely k vertices of D . We usually write this as $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_k$ (resp. $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_k^e$) when no ambiguity can arise.

Let A be a real matrix and let (D, ω) be the weighted digraph associated to A . We denote by $p_A(x) = \det(xI - A) = x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1}x + a_n$ the characteristic polynomial of A . *The Coates determinant formula* (see [2] p. 65) can be stated as follows:

$$a_k = \sum_{\vec{L} \in \vec{\mathcal{L}}_k} (-1)^{|\vec{L}|} \omega(\vec{L}) \tag{1}$$

where $|\vec{L}|$ denotes the number of cycles in \vec{L} and $\omega(\vec{L})$ is the product of all the weights of the arcs of \vec{L} .

In particular

$$\det(A) = (-1)^n a_n = (-1)^n \sum_{\vec{L} \in \vec{\mathcal{L}}_n} (-1)^{|\vec{L}|} \omega(\vec{L}). \tag{2}$$

We consider now the case where A is skew-symmetric. Let \vec{C} be a cycle of length k of D . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\vec{C}) &= a_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_2 i_3} \dots a_{i_{k-1} i_k} a_{i_k i_1} = (-1)^k a_{i_1 i_k} a_{i_k i_{k-1}} \dots a_{i_3 i_2} a_{i_2 i_1} \\ &= (-1)^k \omega(\vec{C}^*) \\ &= \begin{cases} -\omega(\vec{C}^*) & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ \omega(\vec{C}^*) & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\vec{L} \in \vec{\mathcal{L}}_k \setminus \vec{\mathcal{L}}_k^e$. By definition of $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_k$ and $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_k^e$, the linear subdigraph \vec{L} contains an odd cycle \vec{C} among its components. Let \vec{L}' the linear subdigraph obtained from \vec{L} by replacing the cycle \vec{C} by \vec{C}^* . Since \vec{C} is odd, and then $\omega(\vec{C}) = -\omega(\vec{C}^*)$, $\omega(\vec{L}) = -\omega(\vec{L}')$. Thus, linear subdigraphs of $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_k \setminus \vec{\mathcal{L}}_k^e$ contribute 0 to a_k .

It follows that

$$a_k = \sum_{\vec{L} \in \vec{\mathcal{L}}_k^e} (-1)^{|\vec{L}|} \omega(\vec{L}).$$

If k is odd, then $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_k^e$ is empty, and hence

$$a_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ \sum_{\vec{L} \in \vec{\mathcal{L}}_k^e} (-1)^{|\vec{L}|} \omega(\vec{L}) & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

We introduce now a special class of weighted symmetric digraphs called cycle-symmetric digraphs. The characterization of these digraphs will be used in the proof of our main theorem.

Let ω be a positive arc-weight function of D and let q be a positive integer. We say that (D, ω) is $(\leq q)$ -cycle-symmetric if $\omega(\vec{C}) = \omega(\vec{C}^*)$ for every cycle \vec{C} of D of length at most q . If $q = n$ then (D, ω) is said to be cycle-symmetric.

We borrowed the terminology ‘‘cycle-symmetric’’ from Shih and Weng [11]. Following this paper, an $n \times n$ real matrix $[a_{ij}]$ is called cycle-symmetric if the following two conditions hold:

- (C1) for $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $a_{ij}a_{ji} > 0$ or $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 0$;
- (C2) For any sequence of distinct integers i_1, \dots, i_k from the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$a_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_2 i_3} \cdots a_{i_{k-1} i_k} a_{i_k i_1} = a_{i_1 i_k} a_{i_k i_{k-1}} \cdots a_{i_3 i_2} a_{i_2 i_1}.$$

Remark 1. Obviously, a pwsl-digraph (D, ω) is always (≤ 2) -cycle-symmetric. Moreover, a pwsl-digraph is cycle-symmetric if and only if its weighted adjacency matrix is cycle-symmetric.

The following theorem gives a characterization of cycle-symmetric matrices. For the proof, one can see [8,9,11].

Theorem 2.1. *An $n \times n$ real matrix A is cycle-symmetric if and only if there exists an invertible diagonal matrix D such that $D^{-1}AD$ is symmetric.*

It is easy to see that if A is a nonnegative matrix, then the diagonal entries of D can be chosen positive. So by using Remark 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Let (D, ω) be a pwsl-digraph. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) (D, ω) is cycle-symmetric.
- (ii) $A(D, \omega) = \Delta^{-1}S\Delta$ where S is a nonnegative symmetric matrix with zero diagonal and Δ is a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal entries.

3. SKEW-SIGNINGS OF CYCLE-SYMMETRIC DIGRAPHS

In this section, we will prove the following proposition, which is a special case of our main theorem for cycle-symmetric pwsl-digraphs.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (D, ω) be a cycle-symmetric pwls-digraph. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The characteristic polynomial of (D, ω') is the same for all skew-signings ω' of (D, ω) ;*
- (ii) *D contains no even cycles of length greater than 3.*

We start with some preparatory results about skew-signings of pwls-digraphs. Let (D, ω) be an arbitrary pwls-digraph and let ω' be a skew-signing of (D, ω) . We consider the two arc-weight functions defined as follows: $\overline{\omega}(uv) = \sqrt{\omega(uv)\omega(vu)}$ and $\widehat{\omega}'(uv) = \frac{\omega'(uv)}{\omega(uv)}\sqrt{\omega(uv)\omega(vu)}$ for every arc uv of D . It is easy to check the following properties:

- P1** The weighted adjacency matrix of $(D, \overline{\omega})$ is symmetric.
- P2** $\widehat{\omega}'$ is a skew-signing of $(D, \overline{\omega})$ and the weighted adjacency matrix of $(D, \widehat{\omega}')$ is skew-symmetric.
- P3** Let q be a positive integer such that $3 \leq q \leq n$. If (D, ω) is a $(\leq q)$ -cycle-symmetric digraph, then for every cycle \vec{C} of D with length at most q we have $\omega(\vec{C}) = \overline{\omega}(\vec{C})$ and $\omega'(\vec{C}) = \widehat{\omega}'(\vec{C})$.

We denote by $p_{(D, \omega)}(x) := x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1}x + a_n$ the characteristic polynomial of (D, ω) . The characteristic polynomials of (D, ω') and $(D, \widehat{\omega}')$ are respectively denoted by $p_{(D, \omega')}(x) := x^n + b_1x^{n-1} + \dots + b_{n-1}x + b_n$ and $p_{(D, \widehat{\omega}')}(x) := x^n + c_1x^{n-1} + \dots + c_{n-1}x + c_n$.

From Formula (1), we have $b_1 = 0$ and $b_2 = -a_2$. In particular, b_1 and b_2 are independent of ω' .

Lemma 3.2. *Let q be a positive integer such that $3 \leq q \leq n$. If (D, ω) is $(\leq q)$ -cycle-symmetric, then:*

$$b_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ \sum_{\vec{L} \in \vec{\mathcal{L}}_k^e} (-1)^{|\vec{L}|} \omega'(\vec{L}) & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, q$.

Proof. Let $k \in \{1, \dots, q\}$. From Property **P3**, we have $\omega'(\vec{L}) = \widehat{\omega}'(\vec{L})$ for every $\vec{L} \in \vec{\mathcal{L}}_k$. By using Formula (1), it follows that $b_k = c_k$. Moreover, from Property **P2**, $A(D, \widehat{\omega}')$ is a skew-symmetric matrix. Then by Formula (3) we have:

$$c_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ \sum_{\vec{L} \in \vec{\mathcal{L}}_k^e} (-1)^{|\vec{L}|} \widehat{\omega}'(\vec{L}) & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Now, by applying again **P3**, we obtain

$$b_k = c_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ \sum_{\vec{L} \in \vec{\mathcal{L}}_k^e} (-1)^{|\vec{L}|} \omega'(\vec{L}) & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \quad \square \end{cases}$$

We denote by $\vec{\mathcal{C}}_k$ the set of all cycles of length k in D . For a skew-signing ω' , this set can be partitioned into two subsets: $\vec{\mathcal{C}}_{k, \omega'}^+$ and $\vec{\mathcal{C}}_{k, \omega'}^-$ where $\vec{\mathcal{C}}_{k, \omega'}^+$ (resp. $\vec{\mathcal{C}}_{k, \omega'}^-$) is the set of

cycles \vec{C} with length k such that $\omega'(\vec{C}) > 0$ (resp. $\omega'(\vec{C}) < 0$). In the case when k is even, we denote by \vec{D}_k the set of all collections \vec{L} of vertex disjoint digons that cover precisely k vertices in D .

Corollary 3.3. *Assume that (D, ω) is $(\leq q-1)$ -cycle-symmetric for some $q \in \{4, \dots, n+1\}$ and contains no even cycles of length $k \in \{3, \dots, q-1\}$, then*

$$b_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ \sum_{\vec{L} \in \vec{D}_k} \omega(\vec{L}) & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, q-1$ and if $q \leq n$, then

$$b_q = \begin{cases} - \sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{C}_{q,\omega'}^+} \omega(\vec{C}) + \sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{C}_{q,\omega'}^-} \omega(\vec{C}) & \text{if } q \text{ is odd} \\ - \sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{C}_{q,\omega'}^+} \omega(\vec{C}) + \sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{C}_{q,\omega'}^-} \omega(\vec{C}) + \sum_{\vec{L} \in \vec{D}_q} \omega(\vec{L}) & \text{if } q \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first equality follows from [Lemma 3.2](#).

From Formula (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_q &= \sum_{\vec{L} \in \vec{L}_q} (-1)^{|\vec{L}|} \omega'(\vec{L}) \\ &= \sum_{\vec{L} \in \vec{L}_q \setminus (\vec{L}_q^e \cup \vec{C}_q)} (-1)^{|\vec{L}|} \omega'(\vec{L}) + \sum_{\vec{L} \in \vec{L}_q^e \setminus \vec{C}_q} (-1)^{|\vec{L}|} \omega'(\vec{L}) - \sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{C}_q} \omega'(\vec{C}). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of $\vec{C}_{q,\omega'}^+$ and $\vec{C}_{q,\omega'}^-$, we have

$$\sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{C}_q} \omega'(\vec{C}) = \sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{C}_{q,\omega'}^+} \omega(\vec{C}) - \sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{C}_{q,\omega'}^-} \omega(\vec{C}).$$

Consider now $\vec{L} \in \vec{L}_q \setminus (\vec{L}_q^e \cup \vec{C}_q)$. By definition of \vec{L}_q and \vec{L}_q^e , the linear subdigraph \vec{L} contains an odd cycle \vec{C} among its components. Let \vec{L} the linear subdigraph obtained from \vec{L} by replacing the cycle \vec{C} by \vec{C}^* . Since \vec{C} is odd and $\omega(\vec{C}) = \omega(\vec{C}^*)$, $\omega'(\vec{L}) = -\omega'(\vec{L}')$. Thus, linear subdigraphs of $\vec{L}_q \setminus (\vec{L}_q^e \cup \vec{C}_q)$ contribute 0 to b_q .

Now, according to the parity of q , we will distinguish two cases:

Case 1: If q is odd, then $\vec{L}_q^e = \emptyset$ and hence

$$b_q = - \sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{C}_{q,\omega'}^+} \omega(\vec{C}) + \sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{C}_{q,\omega'}^-} \omega(\vec{C}).$$

Case 2: If q is even, then by hypothesis $\vec{L}_q^e = \vec{D}_q$ and hence

$$b_q = \sum_{\vec{L} \in \vec{D}_q} (-1)^{|\vec{L}|} \omega'(\vec{L}) - \sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{C}_{q,\omega'}^+} \omega(\vec{C}) + \sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{C}_{q,\omega'}^-} \omega(\vec{C}).$$

This completes the proof of the second equality. \square

The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Proposition 3.1 is deduced from Corollary 3.3 for $q = n + 1$.

Before proving the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii), we introduce some notations and establish an intermediate result. Let (D, ω) be an arbitrary pwsl-digraph and consider an arbitrary cycle of D of length $q \geq 3$ whose vertices are v_1, \dots, v_q and whose arcs are $e_1 := v_1v_2, \dots, e_{q-1} := v_{q-1}v_q, e_q := v_qv_1$. Let ω' be a skew-signing of (D, ω) . Let $h \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, we denote by $\eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_h)$ the sum of the weights of cycles \vec{C} of length q in D such that $\omega'(\vec{C}) > 0$ and contain arcs e_1, \dots, e_h . Define $\eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, \dots, e_h)$ analogously. For $r < h$, we denote by $\eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_r, \overline{e_{r+1}}, \dots, \overline{e_h})$ the sum of the weights of cycles \vec{C} of length q in D such that $\omega'(\vec{C}) > 0$ and contain arcs e_1, \dots, e_r but not arcs e_{r+1}, \dots, e_h . Define $\eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, \dots, e_r, \overline{e_{r+1}}, \dots, \overline{e_h})$ analogously.

Lemma 3.4. *There exists a skew-signing ω'_0 of (D, ω) such that $\eta_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1) \neq \eta_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1)$.*

Proof. Assume the contrary. We claim that for each $t \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ and for all skew-signings ω' of (D, ω) , $\eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_t) = \eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, \dots, e_t)$. For this, we proceed by induction on t . The case $t = 1$ is assumed. Let $t \in \{1, \dots, q - 1\}$ and suppose that the claim is true for t . Then

$$\begin{cases} \eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_t) = \eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t, e_{t+1}) + \eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t, \overline{e_{t+1}}) \\ \eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, \dots, e_t) = \eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t, e_{t+1}) + \eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t, \overline{e_{t+1}}). \end{cases}$$

Consider now the skew-signing ω'' that coincides with ω' outside $\{e_{t+1}, e_{t+1}^*\}$ and such that $\omega''(e) = -\omega'(e)$ for $e \in \{e_{t+1}, e_{t+1}^*\}$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{cases} \eta_{\omega''}^+(e_1, \dots, e_t) = \eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t, e_{t+1}) + \eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t, \overline{e_{t+1}}) \\ \eta_{\omega''}^-(e_1, \dots, e_t) = \eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t, e_{t+1}) + \eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t, \overline{e_{t+1}}). \end{cases}$$

But by induction hypothesis, we have $\eta_{\omega''}^+(e_1, \dots, e_t) = \eta_{\omega''}^-(e_1, \dots, e_t)$ and $\eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_t) = \eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, \dots, e_t)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_t, \overline{e_{t+1}}) - \eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, \dots, e_t, \overline{e_{t+1}}) &= \eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, \dots, e_{t+1}) - \eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_{t+1}) \\ &= \eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_{t+1}) - \eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, \dots, e_{t+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_t, e_{t+1}) = \eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t, e_{t+1})$.

This completes the induction proof. For $t = q$ we have, $\eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_q) = \eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, \dots, e_q)$.

Now, choose a skew-signing ω' of (D, ω) such that $\omega'(e_1) = \omega(e_1), \dots, \omega'(e_q) = \omega(e_q)$.

Then, we have $\eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_q) = \prod_{i=1}^q \omega(e_i)$ and $\eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, \dots, e_q) = 0$, a contradiction. It follows that there exists a skew-signing ω'_0 such that $\eta_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1) \neq \eta_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1)$. \square

The proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in Proposition 3.1 follows from the following more general result.

Lemma 3.5. *Let (D, ω) be an $(\leq l)$ -cycle-symmetric pwsl-digraph where $l \geq 3$. If the characteristic polynomial of (D, ω') is the same for all skew-signings ω' of (D, ω) , then every cycle of length at most l is an odd cycle or a digon.*

Proof. Assume for contradiction that D contains an even cycle of length $q \in \{4, \dots, l\}$ and choose such a cycle with q as small as possible. We will use the notations of the previous

lemma. Let ω'' be the skew-signing of (D, ω) that coincides with ω'_0 outside $\{e_1, e_1^*\}$ and such that $\omega''(e) = -\omega'_0(e)$ for $e \in \{e_1, e_1^*\}$. The characteristic polynomials of (D, ω'_0) and (D, ω'') are respectively denoted by $p_{(D, \omega'_0)}(x) := x^n + b_1x^{n-1} + \dots + b_{n-1}x + b_n$ and $p_{(D, \omega'')}(x) := x^n + c_1x^{n-1} + \dots + c_{n-1}x + c_n$.

By the choice of q and from the second equality of [Corollary 3.3](#), we have $b_q - c_q = -\sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{\mathcal{C}}_{q, \omega'_0}^+} \omega(\vec{C}) + \sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{\mathcal{C}}_{q, \omega'_0}^-} \omega(\vec{C}) + \sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{\mathcal{C}}_{q, \omega''}^+} \omega(\vec{C}) - \sum_{\vec{C} \in \vec{\mathcal{C}}_{q, \omega''}^-} \omega(\vec{C})$.

Every cycle \vec{C} of length q that contains neither e_1 nor e_1^* contributes 0 to $b_q - c_q$. It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} b_q - c_q &= -\eta_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1) - \eta_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1^*) + \eta_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1) + \eta_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1^*) \\ &\quad + \eta_{\omega''}^+(e_1) + \eta_{\omega''}^+(e_1^*) - \eta_{\omega''}^-(e_1) - \eta_{\omega''}^-(e_1^*). \end{aligned}$$

By construction of ω'' , we have $\eta_{\omega''}^+(e_1) = \eta_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1)$, $\eta_{\omega''}^-(e_1) = \eta_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1)$, $\eta_{\omega''}^+(e_1^*) = \eta_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1^*)$, $\eta_{\omega''}^-(e_1^*) = \eta_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1^*)$.

Then $b_q - c_q = -2(\eta_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1) + \eta_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1^*)) + 2(\eta_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1) + \eta_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1^*))$

As (D, ω) is ($\leq l$)-cycle-symmetric, we have $\eta_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1) = \eta_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1^*)$, $\eta_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1) = \eta_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1^*)$ and then $b_q - c_q = -4(\eta_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1) - \eta_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1)) \neq 0$, a contradiction. \square

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

The implication $(ii) \implies (i)$ follows easily from [Corollary 2.2](#) and [Proposition 3.1](#). To prove $(i) \implies (ii)$ it suffices to use [Proposition 3.1](#) and the next lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (D, ω) be a pwls-digraph. If the characteristic polynomial of (D, ω') is the same for all skew-signings ω' of (D, ω) , then (D, ω) is cycle-symmetric.*

Proof. Assume for a contradiction that (D, ω) is not cycle-symmetric and let \vec{C}_0 be a shortest cycle of D such that $\omega(\vec{C}_0) \neq \omega(\vec{C}_0^*)$. We denote by v_1, \dots, v_q the vertices of \vec{C}_0 and $e_1 := v_1v_2, \dots, e_{q-1} := v_{q-1}v_q, e_q := v_qv_1$ its arcs. Let $h \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, h\}$. For every skew-signing ω' of (D, ω) , we set:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_r, \overline{e_{r+1}}, \dots, \overline{e_h}) &= \eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_r, \overline{e_{r+1}}, \dots, \overline{e_h}) \\ &\quad + \eta_{\omega'}^+(e_1^*, \dots, e_r^*, \overline{e_{r+1}^*}, \dots, \overline{e_h^*}) \\ N_{\omega'}^-(e_1, \dots, e_r, \overline{e_{r+1}}, \dots, \overline{e_h}) &= \eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1, \dots, e_r, \overline{e_{r+1}}, \dots, \overline{e_h}) \\ &\quad + \eta_{\omega'}^-(e_1^*, \dots, e_r^*, \overline{e_{r+1}^*}, \dots, \overline{e_h^*}). \end{aligned}$$

Step 1 There exists a skew-signing ω'_0 of (D, ω) such that $N_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1) \neq N_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1)$.

Assume by contradiction that $N_{\omega'}^+(e_1) = N_{\omega'}^-(e_1)$ for every skew-signing ω' of (D, ω) . By using an induction process, we can deduce, as in the proof of [Lemma 3.4](#), that $N_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_q) = N_{\omega'}^-(e_1, \dots, e_q)$ for all skew-signings ω' of (D, ω) . However,

$$N_{\omega'}^+(e_1, \dots, e_q) = \begin{cases} \omega(\vec{C}_0) + \omega(\vec{C}_0^*) & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } \omega'(\vec{C}_0) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } \omega'(\vec{C}_0) < 0 \\ \omega(\vec{C}_0) & \text{if } q \text{ is odd and } \omega'(\vec{C}_0) > 0 \\ \omega(\vec{C}_0^*) & \text{if } q \text{ is odd and } \omega'(\vec{C}_0) < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$N_{\omega'}^-(e_1, \dots, e_q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } \omega'(\vec{C}_0) > 0 \\ \omega(\vec{C}_0) + \omega(\vec{C}_0^*) & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } \omega'(\vec{C}_0) < 0 \\ \omega(\vec{C}_0^*) & \text{if } q \text{ is odd and } \omega'(\vec{C}_0) > 0 \\ \omega(\vec{C}_0) & \text{if } q \text{ is odd and } \omega'(\vec{C}_0) < 0 \end{cases}$$

which contradicts our assumption on \vec{C}_0 . This completes the proof of Step 1.

Step 2. (D, ω) is $(\leq q - 1)$ -cycle-symmetric and contains no even cycles of length $k \in \{3, \dots, q - 1\}$.

This follows from the choice of q and [Lemma 3.5](#).

Consider now the skew-signing ω'' of (D, ω) that coincides with ω'_0 outside $\{e_1, e_1^*\}$ and such that $\omega''(e) = -\omega'_0(e)$ for $e \in \{e_1, e_1^*\}$. Let $p_{(D, \omega'_0)}(x) := x^n + b_1x^{n-1} + \dots + b_{n-1}x + b_n$ and $p_{(D, \omega'')}(x) := x^n + c_1x^{n-1} + \dots + c_{n-1}x + c_n$ be the characteristic polynomials of (D, ω'_0) and (D, ω'') respectively.

As in the proof of [Lemma 3.5](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_q - c_q &= -2(\eta_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1) + \eta_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1^*)) + 2(\eta_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1) + \eta_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1^*)) \\ &= -2(N_{\omega'_0}^+(e_1) - N_{\omega'_0}^-(e_1)) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts Step 1. This ends the proof of lemma. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Anuradha, R. Balakrishnan, Wasin So, Skew spectra of graphs without even cycles, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 444 (2014) 67–80.
- [2] R.A. Brualdi, D. Cvetkovič, *A Combinatorial Approach to Matrix Theory and its Applications*, CRC Press, 2008.
- [3] M. Cavers, S.M. Cioabă, S. Fallat, D.A. Gregory, W.H. Haemers, S.J. Kirkland, J.J. McDonald, M. Tsatsomeris, Skew-adjacency matrices of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 436 (2012) 4512–4529.
- [4] D. Cui, Y. Hou, On the skew spectra of cartesian products of graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* 20 (2) (2013) #P19.
- [5] Shi-Cai Gong, Guang-Hui Xu, The characteristic polynomial and the matchings polynomial of a weighted digraph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 436 (2012) 3597–3607.
- [6] Yaoping Hou, Tiangang Lei, Characteristic polynomials of skew-adjacency matrices of oriented graphs, *Elect. J. Comb.* 18 (2011) #p156.
- [7] S. Liu, H. Zhang, Permanental polynomials of skew adjacency matrices of oriented graphs, [arXiv:1409.3036 \[math.CO\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.3036).
- [8] J.S. Maybee, Combinatorially symmetric matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 8 (1974) 529–537.
- [9] Seymour V. Parter, J.W.T. Youngs, The symmetrization of matrices by diagonal matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 4 (1962) 102–110.
- [10] B. Shader, Wasin So, Skew spectra of oriented graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* 16 (2009) #N32.
- [11] C.W. Shih, C.W. Weng, Cycle-symmetric matrices and convergent neural networks, *Physica D* 146 (2000) 213–220.